study this period of history in the 102d, 103d, and the 104th Congress.

What they will find is that the times when the cloture motions were filed was to close off the prolonged debate which was taking place in the Senate. But they will also find that when our Republican leadership has been filing the cloture motions in this Congress, it is not to terminate debate. It is to block out debate, to close out the possibilities to offer amendments to the underlying measure, a very significant and important difference. It can be made light of on the floor of the Senate, but every Member of this body ought to know what the significance and the difference is about in the application of cloture during this period of time—to close out debate, to deny the opportunity for Members to be able to express the interests of people they represent. It is unbecoming for this institution to be put in that position because this is the institution which has debated the great issues as well as less important issues over the period of the history of this Nation. Denying that opportunity for debate does not serve this institution or its tradition well. To the contrary.

I wish to make just a final observation, Mr. President. I ask unanimous consent to be able to proceed for 3 or 4 more minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. KENNEDY. In every case where cloture was filed on an amendable vehicle during the 103d Congress and Republicans sought to offer amendments, amendments sponsored by or cosponsored by Republicans were voted on before the cloture vote. Do we hear that? In every case where cloture was filed on an amendable vehicle during the 103d Congress and Republicans sought to offer amendments, amendments sponsored by or cosponsored by Republicans were voted on before the cloture vote. Not today in terms of where we are on proposals of Democrats and on proposals that are cosponsored by Republicans, because the minimum wage increase is cosponsored by a Republican. In no case was the amendment tree completely filled to prevent Republicans from offering amendments after cloture was filed. In no case. In no case. I have heard that claim to be the case by the Republican majority leader and again repeated this afternoon. But the facts do not support that statement.

Cloture was most frequently filed to close off debate in situations where amendments were not in order-conference reports, nominations, motions to proceed to bills. The only bill on which cloture was filed during the 103d Congress and no Republican amendments were offered was S. 414, the Brady bill. In that bill, cloture was filed on the Mitchell-Dole substitute amendment. There were no votes on Republican amendments because a unanimous-consent agreement was reached dictating which amendments would be permitted—unanimous consent—a completely different history than has been described either earlier this evening or by the majority leader on vesterday.

So, Mr. President, as I mentioned, the people in my State who are receiving the minimum wage have been fortunate in that my State increased the minimum wage. Fortunately, it has been in effect since January of this year, and the unemployment has gone down. It has gone down. In our neighboring State of New Hampshire, where they have not increased it, the unemployment has gone up.

So I will welcome the opportunity to debate the issue of whether the minimum wage adds to inflation, whether it adds to unemployment, about what the economic impact is going to be. We have ample examples of that from history. We have at other times reviewed that for the benefit of the Senate, and we will welcome the chance to either do that again or not do it.

We continue to deny an increase in the minimum wage to hard-working Americans, most of whom are women. A good percentage of those women have small children. This is a women's issue. It is a families' issue. It is a children's issue. It is an issue for justice. It is an issue on decency. It is an issue on fairness. The American people understand that.

So perhaps as we come to the conclusion of this week of Senate debate and discussion, those families are going to wonder why the Senate did not address this issue again. It is more and more difficult for this Senator to explain to families that are trying to provide for themselves and their families why Republican leaders refuse to give working families a livable wage that we have been prepared to do at other times in our history with Republicans and Democrats alike. The last time we increased it, we had a Democratic controlled Congress and a Republican President. Now we have a Republican Congress and a Democratic President, but the Republican leadership in the House of Representatives and the Senate of the United States has refused to do it.

In a final point, I will say it is going to get done. It is going to get done, and those families ought to understand that it will get done. It will get done, I believe, sooner than later. We will continue to offer this amendment on the legislation, and if the Senator from Mississippi or the Senator from Kansas, the majority leader, want to go to this arcane procedure of denying any debate or discussion on either the minimum wage or any amendments thereto, they are going to have a very long spring and a very long summer, but we are going to prevail on this issue.

I yield the floor.

## ADJOURNMENT UNTIL MONDAY, MAY 13, 1996

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands adjourned until Monday, May 13, 1996.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 6:57 p.m., adjourned until Monday, May 13, 1996, at 12 noon.

## NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate May 9, 1996:

THE JUDICIARY

RICHARD A. LAZZARA, OF FLORIDA, TO BE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA, VICE JOHN H. MOORE II, RETIRED.

MARGARET M. MORROW, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, VICE RICHARD A. GADBOIS, JR., RETIRED.

## FOREIGN SERVICE

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBERS OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE FOR PROMOTION IN THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE TO THE CLASS INDICATED:

CAREER MEMBERS OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE

CAREER MEMBERS OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLASS OF MIN-ISTER-COUNSELOR:

TERENCE FLANNERY, OF VIRGINIA LARON L. JENSEN, OF VIRGINIA

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBERS OF THE FOREIGN SERVICE FOR PROMOTION INTO THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, AS INDICATED:

CAREER MEMBERS OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLASS OF COUNSELOR:

DOLORES F. HARROD, OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JAMES L. JOY, OF FLORIDA DAVID K. KATZ, OF CALIFORNIA GEORGE W. KNOWLES, OF FLORIDA KAY R. KUHLMAN, OF FLORIDA JOHN L. PRIAMOU, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA GEORGE F. RUFFNER, OF PENNSYLVANIA

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED PERSONS OF THE AGENCIES INDICATED FOR APPOINTMENT AS FOREIGN SERVICE OFFICERS OF THE CLASSES STATED, AND ALSO FOR THE OTHER APPOINTMENTS INDICATED HEREWITH:

FOR APPOINTMENT AS FOREIGN SERVICE OFFICERS OF CLASS TWO, CONSULAR OFFICERS AND SECRETARIES IN THE DIPLOMATIC SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

JUSTIN EMMETT DOYLE, OF NEW YORK HECTOR NAVA, OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CRAIG B. ALLEN, OF WISCONSIN ROBERT M. MURPHY, OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

DAVID M. BUSS, OF TEXAS PATRICIA M. HASLACH, OF OREGON

FOR APPOINTMENT AS FOREIGN SERVICE OFFICERS OF CLASS THREE, CONSULAR OFFICERS AND SECRETARIES IN THE DIPLOMATIC SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

DAVID JOHN CLARK, OF TEXAS
AMY RENNEISEN FAWCETT, OF TENNESSEE
JAMES B. GAUGHRAN, OF VIRGINIA
MICHAEL J. GREENE, OF WASHINGTON
PHILIP D. HORSCHLER, OF CALIFORNIA
VIRGINIA HOWELL POOLE, OF VIRGINIA
CLAUDE WILBUR MARK REECE, OF VIRGINIA
CAROLINE TRUESDELL, OF NEW YORK
RUTH F. WOODCOCK, OF FLORIDA
ALBERT OBIRI YEBOAH, OF VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SHARON A. BYLENGA, OF FLORIDA

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ANN M. BACHER, OF FLORIDA NANCY K. CHARLES-PARKER, OF VIRGINIA DAVID K. SCHNEIDER, OF VIRGINIA DALE N. TASHARSKI, OF TENNESSEE

FOR APPOINTMENT AS FOREIGN SERVICE OFFICERS OF CLASS FOUR, CONSULAR OFFICERS AND SECRETARIES IN THE DIPLOMATIC SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

LINDA F. ARCHER, OF CALIFORNIA FRANK G. CARRICO, JR., OF TEXAS JAMES M. FLUKER, OF NEW YORK ROSEMARY D. GALLANT, OF VIRGINIA KENNETH H. KEEFE, OF FLORIDA JAMES M. MC CARTHY, OF MARYLAND

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

MICHAEL JONATHAN ADLER, OF MARYLAND